UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/914,913	12/17/2001	Peter Beyer	-	5922	
22847 7590 08/07/2007 SYNGENTA BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC.			EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 3054 CORNWALLIS ROAD P.O. BOX 12257			KALLIS, RUSSELL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	· ·	GLE PARK, NC 27709-2257		1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/914,913	BEYER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Russell Kallis	1638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	1. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ag	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 16,32-43 and 60 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 16,32-43 and 60 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 December 2004</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ <i>i</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/15/2006 has been entered.

Rejection of Claims 16 and 32-43 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and arguments.

Rejection of Claims 16 and 32-43 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and arguments.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-15, 17-31 and 44-59 are cancelled. Claim 60 is newly filed. Claims 16, 32-43, and 60 are pending and examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1638

Claims 16, 32-43 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burkhardt P. *et al.*, in RICE GENETICS III; Proceeding of the Third International Rice Genetics Symposium; International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), 1996; Khush G. S. ed., in view of Shewmaker C. in WO 99/07867 published 18 February 1999.

The claims are broadly drawn to a method of producing a plant cell that accumulates β carotene in normally carotenoid free tissue by transformation with a plant phytoene synthase and
a bacterial phytoene desaturase and plants transformed therewith.

Burkhardt teaches a method of transforming rice plants (*Liliopsida*) with DNA molecules capable of expressing in plant cells consisting of a phytoene synthase and phytoene desaturase from daffodil, using either the CaMV35S or the endosperm tissue specific rice *Gt1* promoter, and the *hpt* hyrgomycin antibiotic selection gene under control of a constitutive promoter and suggest a strategy for using single genes or combinations of genes frm the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway (page 819, lines 27-44); that rice milled endosperm has virtually no beta-carotene (page 818, lines 9-11); the availability of genes encoding the four necessary enzymatic activities for beta-carotene biosynthesis in plants and bacteria (page 819, lines 16-22); and the accumulation of high levels of phytoene in the seeds of several lines of rice plants transformed with phytoene synthase and phytoene desaturase from daffodil (page 820, lines 1-2).

Burkhardt does not teach a bacterial phytoene desaturase encoding sequence fused to a sequence encoding the pea Rubisco small subunit transit peptide; a vector encoding system derived from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*; or a plant transformed with a bacterial phytoene desaturase encoding sequence.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,913

Art Unit: 1638

Shewmaker teaches transformation of Brassica napus plants with crtB and crtI from E. uredovora fused to the pea Rubisco small subunit transit peptide; wherein the transformed plants produced seeds that that had several hundred fold increases of β -carotene (see Example 1 pages 26-27 in parts 1 and 2 for cloning and p.28-29 for transformation vectors; and Table 7 page 42 for β -carotene levels); and that genes for plant phytoene synthase and bacterial phytoene desaturases were known in the art (pages 9-10).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify the invention of Burkhardt to include the polynucleotide encoding the Erwinia uredova bacterial phytoene desaturase and the vector encoding system derived from A. tumefaciens taught by Shewmaker. One of skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings of Burkhardt that the genes encoding the enzymes required for beta-carotene biosynthesis from plants and bacteria were available in the art at the time of filing, as also taught by Shewmaker and Applicant's specification; and that rice endosperm contains GGPP the substrate for phytoene synthase as taught by Burkhardt, and is thus a valuable tool for engineering provitamin A production, and by the success of Burkhardt in transforming rice with phytoene synthase (daffodil) and phytoene desaturase (daffodil) and expressing the plant phytoene synthase (daffodil) in the endosperm of rice seeds resulting in high levels of phytoene, the substrate for phytoene synthase; and by the success of Shewmaker in producing several hundred fold increases of β-carotene in seeds of Brassica napus transformed with bacterial phytoene synthase (crtB) and bacterial phytoene desaturase (crtI) from Erwinia uredova; that one would have had a reasonable expectation of success in transforming a rice plant with a plant phytoene synthase and a bacterial phytoene desaturase; and in producing beta carotene in the endosperm of rice given the success of Shewmaker and Burkhardt.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,913 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

In response to Applicant's remarks that D2 (i.e. Burkhardt et~al.) does not teach or suggest a method for producing β -carotene in the endosperm of rice, Applicant's attention is directed to Applicant's remarks on page 9 of the response under 103, where Applicant states that "D2 (i.e. Burkhardt et~al.) suggests that four enzymes are necessary for β -carotene biosynthesis in the rice endosperm"; and thus the reference does suggest a method for producing β -carotene in the endosperm of rice and does not teach away as stated by Applicant. In addition, the authors state that it is their strategy to transform with single heterologous carotenoid biosynthetic genes or several genes in combination from the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway on page 819 in lines 27-33 the 6^{th} full paragraph.

All claims are rejected.

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Kallis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0798. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russell Kallis Ph.D. July 30, 2007

RUSSELL P. KALLIS, PH.D.

Russell 12 alls